

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/622,767	07/18/2003	Daniel Plastina	MS#303015.01 (5052)	MS#303015.01 (5052) 8677	
321 SENNIGER PO	7590 03/19/2007		EXAMINER		
	POLITAN SQUARE		PATEL, MA	PATEL, MANGLESH M	
16TH FLOOR ST LOUIS, MO	D 63102		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
31 L0013, MC	J 05102		2178		
			<b>F</b>		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			.03/19/2007	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

uspatents@senniger.com

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

-
-

l "	vialiglesii ivi. Falei	2170	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	rs on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>02/28/07</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATI	ION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOV	VANCE.	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the this application, applicant must timely file one of the following places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ne same day as filing a Notice of ng replies: (1) an amendment, aff ce of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 CI	ce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire late Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b)	er than SIX MONTHS from the mailing ). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection	on.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706	i.07(f).	(26/a) and the appropria	to outonoion foo
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date or have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exterunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shoset forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later the may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	nsion and the corresponding amount ortened statutory period for reply orig	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliant filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extens a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed were appeared.</li> </ol>	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>			
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but</li> <li>They raise new issues that would require further cons</li> <li>They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)</li> </ol>	sideration and/or search (see NO );	TE below);	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bette appeal; and/or	er form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a co	orresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121	1. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	·		
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allo non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:	] will not be entered, or b) ∐ wi ded below or appended.	II be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	•		•
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	sufficient reasons why the affidar	vit or other evidence is	s necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to ov showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	ercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ils to provide a 1).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attacl	ned.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (F 13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	Aut	-
	1. 1. 54**	STEPHEN HOI	NG

TRVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

## **Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)**

The previous evidence was insufficient to overcome the Music Match reference because the applicant did not clearly explain the facts or data applicant is relying on to show that the invention was completed prior to a certain date. There was no explanation as to how the program code relates to the claimed invention. The applicant submitted Exhibits A, B and C. Exhibit A included code segment and a statement by the applicant that the application program worked for its intended purpose, however it was insufficient without accompanying Screenshots of the program in action or how the code relates to each claimed limitation. Further Exhibit B showed that the code segment of Exhibit A was incorporated into an application program, however a build does not prove that the program worked for its intended purpose. Furthermore Exhibit C is merely an email of observation and discussion of the problem and recommendations.

However the examiner appreciates the efforts by applicant to expedite prosecution and supply further evidence to establish the date for reduction to practice of the invention by submitting additional evidence of D and E. Upon a preliminary overview the evidence appears to overcome the prior art date, however the examiner must reconsider the addition evidence, particularly the claims and how they relate to the program code. The examiner believes that at this point enough sufficient information has been presented and should have been presented earlier. The additional evidence shows how the claims relate to the program code, this along with the program screenshot in action appear to overcome the prior art date, however the examiner must review the additional information to be fully considered, particularly the claim language and how it associates with the code portions.